



# COUNCIL

## Minutes

for the meeting on

Tuesday, 8 April 2025

in the Council Chamber, Adelaide Town Hall

© 2025 City of Adelaide. All Rights Reserved.

Our Adelaide.  
**Bold.**  
**Aspirational.**  
**Innovative.**

Present – The Right Honourable the Lord Mayor, Dr Jane Lomax-Smith (Presiding)  
Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott  
Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros, Davis, Giles, Hou, Martin, Noon, Dr Siebentritt and Snape

**1 Acknowledgement of Country**

At the opening of the Council meeting, the Lord Mayor stated:

‘Council acknowledges that we are meeting on traditional Country of the Kaurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past and present. We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We acknowledge that they are of continuing importance to the Kaurna people living today.

And we also extend that respect to other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations who are present today.’

**2 Acknowledgement of Colonel William Light**

The Lord Mayor stated:

‘The Council acknowledges the vision of Colonel William Light in determining the site for Adelaide and the design of the City with its six squares and surrounding belt of continuous Park Lands which is recognised on the National Heritage List as one of the greatest examples of Australia’s planning heritage.’

**3 Prayer**

The Lord Mayor stated:

‘We pray for wisdom, courage, empathy, understanding and guidance in the decisions that we make, whilst seeking and respecting the opinions of others.’

**4 Pledge**

The Lord Mayor stated:

‘May we in this meeting speak honestly, listen attentively, think clearly and decide wisely for the good governance of the City of Adelaide and the wellbeing of those we serve.’

**5 Memorial Silence**

The Lord Mayor asked all present stand in silence in memory of those who gave their lives in defence of their Country, at sea, on land and in the air.

**6 Apologies and Leave of Absence**

Councillor Martin entered the Council Chamber at 6.09 pm.

Apology:

Councillor Li

**7 Confirmation of Minutes - 25/3/2025**

Moved by Councillor Snape,  
Seconded by Councillor Noon -

That the Minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 25 March 2025 and the Minutes of the Special meeting held on 25 March 2025, be taken as read and be confirmed as an accurate record of proceedings.

Carried

## **8 Declaration of Conflict of Interest**

Councillor Abrahamzadeh declared a general conflict of interest in Item 22 [Confidential Recommendation of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee – 1 April 2025], pursuant to Section 74 of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA) as his employer owns and/or manages a number of properties in the area and that he would leave the room for the item.

Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott declared a material conflict of interest in Item 16.1 [Councillor Davis - MoN - Central Ward Election], pursuant to Section 75 of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA) as it is the subject of an active matter before the Court of Disputed Returns, in which he is named, and that he would leave the room for the item.

Councillor Noon declared a material conflict of interest in Item 16.1 [Councillor Davis - MoN - Central Ward Election], pursuant to Section 75 of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA) as it is the subject of an active matter before the Court of Disputed Returns, in which she is named, and that she would leave the room for the item.

Councillor Hou declared a material conflict of interest in Item 16.1 [Councillor Davis - MoN - Central Ward Election], pursuant to Section 75 of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA) as it is the subject of an active matter before the Court of Disputed Returns, in which he is named, and that he would leave the room for the item.

## **9 Deputations**

### **9.1 Deputation - Jan Chorley - Australia Day Council of SA - Australia Day 2026 and beyond**

Ms Jan Chorley addressed the Council:

- On the events and awards provided by the Australia Day Council of SA and requesting an ongoing commitment from Council.

The Lord Mayor thanked Ms Chorley for her deputation.

## **10 Petitions**

Nil

## **11 Recommendations of the City Community Services and Culture Committee - 1 April 2025**

Moved by Councillor Snape,  
Seconded by Councillor Giles -

### **11.1 Recommendation 1 - Item 7.1 - Adelaide Park Lands Dry Area Regulation Review**

#### THAT COUNCIL

1. Notes the Adelaide Park Lands Dry Area Regulation Evaluation report as contained in Attachment A to Item 7.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Community Services and Culture Committee held on 1 April 2025.
2. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer, or delegate, to prepare and submit an application to the State Government to extend the current arrangements for the Adelaide Park Lands Dry Areas 1 (8pm on each day to 11am on the following day) and Area 2 (continuous) for a further three years until 22 June 2028.

3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer, or delegate, to request that the State Government work with the Administration to prioritise the development of a plan for implementing the recommended actions as contained in the Adelaide Park Lands Dry Area Regulation Evaluation commencing in 2025/26.

## **11.2 Recommendation 2 - Item 7.2 - Draft Cultural Policy 2025-2036 and Consultation Summary**

### THAT COUNCIL

1. Endorses the draft Cultural Policy 2025-2036 for public consultation contained in Attachment A to Item 7.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of the City Community Services and Culture Committee held on 1 April 2025.
2. Notes the consultation summary and feedback contained in Attachment B to Item 7.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of the City Community Services and Culture Committee held on 1 April 2025.

Discussion ensued, during which the Lord Mayor advised the motion would be taken in parts.

Recommendation 1 of the motion, was then put and carried

Councillor Martin requested that a division be taken on Recommendation 1 of the motion

Division

For (7):

Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott and Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros, Davis, Giles, Hou and Siebentritt.

Against (3):

Councillors Martin, Noon and Snape.

The division was declared in favour of Recommendation 1 of the motion

Recommendation 2 of the motion, was then put and carried

## **12 Recommendations of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee – 1 April 2025**

### **12.1 Recommendation 1 - Item 7.1 - Community Facilities Policy for Adoption**

Moved by Councillor Noon,  
Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott -

### THAT COUNCIL:

1. Notes the Social Infrastructure (Assets) Policy Consultation Summary contained in Attachment A to Item 7.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee held on 1 April 2025.
2. Adopts the Community Facilities Policy, contained in Attachment B to Item 7.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee held on 1 April 2025.
3. Authorises the Chief Executive Officer, or delegate, to make minor, typographical or syntactical updates to the document contained in Attachment A and Attachment B to Item 7.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee held on 1 April 2025.

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Martin,  
Seconded by Councillor Snape –

That the motion be amended by in the inclusion of an additional part to read as follows:

- '3. Asks the Administration to schedule a briefing for Committee to consider the consultation complaints about the cleanliness and adequacy of the City's public toilet facilities and any suggested responses.'

Discussion ensued, during which Councillor Martin withdrew his amendment and advised he would move it as a motion without notice.

The motion was then put and carried

### **13 Reports for Council (Chief Executive Officer's Reports)**

Nil

### **14 Lord Mayor's Reports**

The Lord Mayor addressed the meeting on the following activities:

- Pardoning of the half-a-century-old pranksters at the University of Adelaide
- Submission to the Select Committee on Short Stay Accommodation at Parliament House
- 10 year anniversary of the Bra Fitting Studio
- Local Government Association's Board of Directors meeting
- Citizenship Ceremony
- Laid a wreath in recognition of Greek Independence Day at the Cathedral of the Archangels on Franklin Street
- Presentation of the Lord Mayor's Trophy at the Feral Aussie Boullistes Association of SA
- Adelaide Turf Cricket Club Women's Award Night
- Celebration event in Rundle Mall for the South Australian Cricket Team who won the Sheffield Shield for the first time in 29 years
- Mary's Poppin 9th birthday.

It was then –

Moved by Councillor Abrahamzadeh,  
Seconded by Councillor Davis –

That the report be received and noted.

Carried

### **15 Councillors' Reports**

Councillor Noon addressed the meeting on her attendance at Neighbour Day and the Citizenship Ceremony.

Councillor Martin addressed the meeting on his attendance at Neighbour Day and gave a personal explanation on Item 16.1 - Councillor Davis - MoN - Central Ward Election and why he would not attend the Special Council meeting.

Councillor Snape addressed the meeting on his attendance at Neighbour Day and how he would stay in the room for Item 16.1 - Councillor Davis - MoN - Central Ward Election, not participate in the discussion and vote on the matter and the Special Council meeting.

Councillor Davis addressed the meeting on his attendance at the 104<sup>th</sup> birthday of the Royal Australian Air Force and asked a question about Councillors non attendance at the Special Council meeting on 25 March 2025.

Discussion ensued

Councillor Siebentritt addressed the meeting on his attendance at the Adelaide Turf Cricket Association awards night.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Giles,  
Seconded by Councillor Davis –

That the reports be received and noted.

**16 Motions on Notice****16.1 Councillor Davis - MoN - Central Ward Election**

Having declared a material conflict of interest, Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott and Councillors Hou and Noon left the Council Chamber at 6.36 pm.

The Lord Mayor advised the meeting that she was not accepting part 1 of the motion and ruled part 1 was ultra vires.

The Lord Mayor advised the meeting that she was not accepting part 4 of the motion due to a lack of procedural fairness.

Discussion ensued

It was then –

Moved by Councillor Davis,  
Seconded by Councillor Abrahamzadeh –

THAT COUNCIL

1. Requests administration prepare and present a report detailing the legal fees incurred and the reasons for those costs, in relation to the Central Ward legal proceedings.
2. Seeks legal advice on whether the Council can recover legal costs from any parties found by the Court responsible for the election illegalities.

Discussion continued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Giles,  
Seconded by Councillor Siebentritt –

'That the motion be amended to include 3 additional parts to read as follows and the original parts 1 and 2 be renumbered to be parts 4 and 5:

That:

1. Council recognises that the court of disputed returns is currently considering submissions from the parties and is yet to make recommendations or orders.
2. In view of this, Council agrees it is not proper or practical to comment on or act on matters which are currently being considered by the court.
3. Once orders have been provided, Council request administration:
  - seek advice, including legal advice about the implications for council.
  - provide council with an opportunity to, if necessary confidentially, discuss this advice in order to explore the implications and agree actions arising from the orders and/or recommendations.'

Discussion ensued, during which Councillor Davis called a point of order against Councillor Giles, for referring to other Council Members and their conduct. The Lord Mayor ruled to continue the meeting.

The amendment was then put and carried

Councillor Martin requested that a division be taken on the amendment

Division

For (6):

Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Davis, Giles, Martin, Siebentritt and Snape.

Against (1):

Councillor Couros.

The division was declared in favour of the amendment

Discussion continued, during which the Lord Mayor advised the motion would be taken in parts.

Councillor Giles called a point of order against Councillor Davis, for not summing up the motion. The Lord Mayor ruled that Councillor Davis sum up the motion.

Parts 1, 2 and 3 of the motion, as amended, were then put and carried

Councillor Martin requested that a division be taken on Parts 1, 2 and 3 of the motion

Division

For (6):

Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Davis, Giles, Martin, Siebentritt and Snape.

Against (1):

Councillor Couros.

The division was declared in favour of Parts 1, 2 and 3 of the motion

Part 4 of the motion, was then put and lost

Councillor Davis requested that a division be taken on Part 4 of the motion

Division

For (3):

Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros and Davis.

Against (4):

Councillors Giles, Martin, Siebentritt and Snape.

The division was declared against Part 4 of the motion

Part 5 of the motion, was then put and lost

Councillor Abrahamzadeh requested that a division be taken on Part 5 of the motion

Division

For (3):

Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros and Davis.

Against (4):

Councillors Giles, Martin, Siebentritt and Snape.

The division was declared against Part 5 of the motion

Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott and Councillors Hou and Noon re-entered the Council Chamber at 7.18 pm.

## **17 Motions without Notice**

### **17.1 Councillor Martin - MwN - Public Toilets**

Moved by Councillor Martin,  
Seconded by Councillor Snape

That Council asks the Administration to schedule a briefing for Committee to consider the consultation complaints about the cleanliness and adequacy of the City's public toilet facilities and any suggested responses.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried unanimously

### **17.2 Cr Couros - MwN - Supporting a Model for Main Street Investment and Revitalisation**

Moved by Councillor Couros,  
Seconded by Councillor Abrahamzadeh,

That Council Request:

1. A report drafted by the administration in conjunction with AEDA outlining the consultation and framework required to develop multiple special regimes, to generate additional income to support individual Main Streets:
  - 1.1. for investment in individualised place-making, economic development, revitalisation, marketing, or local business activation, shaped by local Main Street conditions,
  - 1.2. allowing for localised interventions led by local stakeholders through AEDA, including precinct groups, local traders, and relevant economic agencies, and
  - 1.3. with the option to introduce schemes only when supported by the majority of affected stakeholders.

Discussion ensued, during which with the consent of the mover, seconder and the meeting the motion was varied to include the words 'similar to business improvement districts' after the words 'special regimes'.

With the consent of the meeting, the Lord Mayor adjourned the Council meeting until the adjournment of the Special Council meeting, at 7.29 pm.

The meeting resumed at 7.31 pm, with the following Council Members present:

The Right Honourable the Lord Mayor, Dr Jane Lomax-Smith (Presiding), Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott and Councillors Abrahimzadeh, Couros, Davis, Giles, Hou, Noon, Dr Siebentritt and Snape.

Discussion continued, during which:

- Councillor Noon left the Council Chamber at 7.32 pm.
- Councillors Martin and Noon re-entered the meeting at 7.33 pm.

The motion, as varied, was then put and carried

Councillor Couros requested that a division be taken on the motion, as varied

Division

For (8):

Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Elliott and Councillors Abrahimzadeh, Couros, Davis, Giles, Hou, Noon and Siebentritt.

Against (2):

Councillors Martin and Snape.

The division was declared in favour of the motion, as varied

## **18 Questions on Notice**

### **18.1 Councillor Martin - QoN - Commercial Events funding program**

### **18.2 Councillor Couros - QoN - Request for Vote Count Details – 2022 Local Government Elections (South Ward)**

### **18.3 Councillor Couros - QoN - Enrolment Forms Submitted by Jing Li – 2022 Local Government Elections (South Ward)**

### **18.4 Councillor Couros - QoN - Legal Costs and Staff Time - Hyde v ECSA and Li**

The Questions and Replies having been distributed and published prior to the meeting were taken as read.

The replies for Items 18.1 – 18.4, are attached for reference at the end of the Minutes of the meeting.



**19 Questions without Notice**

Councillor Snape - QwN - Request for Vote Count Details – 2022 Local Government Elections (South Ward)

In response to a question from Councillor Snape, an undertaking was given to provide information to Council Members on the first preference votes for the first and second members elected in the 2022 South Ward election .

**20 Exclusion of the Public**

Moved by Councillor Siebentritt,  
Seconded by Councillor Noon -

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Having taken into account the relevant consideration contained in section 90(3) (d) and section 90(2) & (7) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council dated 8 April 2025 resolves that it is necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public as the consideration of Item 21 [Recommendation of the City Community Services and Culture Committee – 1 April 2025] listed on the Agenda in a meeting open to the public would on balance be contrary to the public interest.

Grounds and Basis

This Item is confidential as the item contains certain information of a confidential nature.

Public Interest

The Council is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances because the disclosure of information may result in release of information prior to the finalisation of the matter by the City of Adelaide and the Proponent. The Council notes that the Proponent has future announcements linked to this report that it does not wish to be made public at this time.

2. Pursuant to section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* (the Act), this meeting of the Council dated 8 April 2025 orders that the public (with the exception of members of Corporation staff and any person permitted to remain) be excluded from this meeting to enable this meeting to receive, discuss or consider in confidence Item 21 [Recommendation of the City Community Services and Culture Committee – 1 April 2025] listed in the Agenda, on the grounds that such item of business, contains information and matters of a kind referred to in section 90(3) (d) of the Act.

Carried

Moved by Councillor Siebentritt,  
Seconded by Councillor Noon -

**ORDER TO EXCLUDE FOR ITEM 22**THAT COUNCIL:

1. Having taken into account the relevant consideration contained in section 90(3) (m) and section 90(2) & (7) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council dated 8 April 2025 resolves that it is necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public as the consideration of Item 22 [Recommendation of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee – 1 April 2025] listed on the Agenda in a meeting open to the public would on balance be contrary to the public interest.

Grounds and Basis

This Item is confidential as the material presented contains information regarding potential new Historic Areas and Representative Buildings to be included in a future draft Code Amendment that is not appropriate to be made public prior to the commencement of public engagement, due to the identification of individual properties in the draft Code Amendment.

The disclosure of information in this report could reasonably breach confidentiality of information prior to public engagement of the draft Code Amendment.

Public Interest

The Committee is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances because the disclosure of this information will release the draft Code Amendment prior to Council endorsement of the policy approach and public engagement plan.

2. Pursuant to section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* (the Act), this meeting of the Council dated 8 April 2025 orders that the public (with the exception of members of Corporation staff and any person permitted to remain) be excluded from this meeting to enable this meeting to receive, discuss or consider in confidence Item 22 [Recommendation of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee – 1 April 2025] listed in the Agenda, on the grounds that such item of business, contains information and matters of a kind referred to in section 90(3) (m) of the Act.

Carried

Members of the public and corporation staff not involved with Items 21 and 22 left the Council Chamber at 7.42 pm.

- 21 Confidential Recommendation of the City Community Services and Culture Committee – 1 April 2025 [S90(3) (d)]**
- 21.1. Recommendation 1 - Item 10.1 - Arts and Culture Music Festival 2025, Bartels Road Closure [S90(3) (d)]**
- 22 Confidential Recommendation of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee - 1 April 2025 [S90(3) (m)]**
- 22.1. Recommendation 1 - Item 10.1 - New Historic Areas in City Living Zone Code Amendment Proposal to Initiate [S90(3) (m)]**

The meeting reopened to the public at 8.03 pm.

**Item 21 – Confidential Recommendation of the City Community Services and Culture Committee – 1 April 2025 [S90(3) (d)]**

Recommendation 1 – Arts and Culture Music Festival 2025, Bartels Road Closure

Part Resolution

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Receives the outcomes of the public consultation for an 'Arts and Cultural Music Festival' as contained in Attachment A to Item 10.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the City Community Services and Culture Committee on 1 April 2025.

Confidentiality Order

Authorises that, in accordance with Section 91(7) and (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA) and because Item 21 [Recommendation 1 – Item 10.1 - Arts and Culture Music Festival 2025, Bartels Road Closure] listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council on 8 April 2025 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (d) of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA), this meeting of the Council do order that:

1. The resolution (excluding clause/part 1), the report (excluding the public consultation outcomes attachment), the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2031.
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed once the event date and artists are publicly announced by Harvest Rock Pty Ltd.
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

The public consultation outcomes, Attachment A to Item 21 – can be found at the conclusion of the minutes, for information.

**Item 22 – Confidential Recommendation of the City Planning, Development and Business Affairs Committee - 1 April 2025 [s 90(3) [(m)]**

Confidentiality Order

Authorises that, in accordance with Section 91(7) and (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA) and because Item 22 [Recommendation 1 – Item 10.1 - New Historic Area (City Living Zone) Code Amendment Proposal to Initiate] listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 8 April 2025 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (m) of the *Local Government Act 1999* (SA), this meeting of the Council do order that:

1. The resolution, the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until the public consultation for the Code Amendment has commenced or 31 December 2032.
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2025.
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

**Closure**

The meeting closed at 8.03 pm

Dr Jane Lomax-Smith

Lord Mayor

Date of confirmation:

**Documents Attached:**

Item 18.1 – 18.4 – Question on Notice Replies – Distributed Separately

Item 21 – Confidential Recommendation of the City Community Services and Culture Committee – 1 April 2025, Attachment A, published in confidence and released to the public

## Councillor Martin - QoN - Commercial Events funding program

Tuesday, 8 April 2025  
Council

**Council Member**  
Councillor Phillip Martin

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief Operating Officer

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Phillip Martin will ask the following Question on Notice:**

'Noting the Commercial Events funding program table, could the Administration advise;

1. How many and which of the recipients were not based in South Australia
  2. How many event applicants shared the same or similar ownership/directors and
  3. If the events were profitable with or without funding from AEDA?'
- 

## REPLY

1. The Commercial Events and Sponsorship Program provides funding to for profit organisations to deliver events and festivals that increase visitation to the city. Funding is provided in two categories:
  - 1.1. Small and medium: Assessment focuses on local area activation, visitation and economic benefit, and environmental considerations.
  - 1.2. Medium to large: Assessment focuses on visitation and economic benefit, cultural contribution in showcasing local artists/artisans amplifying Adelaide's status as a UNESCO City of Music, access and inclusion and environmental considerations.
2. In both categories, the capabilities and financial viability of the event/festival and the applicant are considered.
3. In relation to question one: How many and which of the recipients were not based in South Australia?
  - 3.1 Of the 22 events and festivals awarded funding in 2025, 5 recipients have their main business location registered interstate according to the Australian Business Register.
    - 3.1.1 Made In India Pty Ltd, Sunny Pathak, **Holi Festival**, VIC
    - 3.1.2 St Jerome's Laneway Pty Ltd, Jessica Parker, **Laneway Festival**, NSW
    - 3.1.3 The Adela Group Pty Ltd, James Manning, **Gresham Street Gateway Festival**, VIC
    - 3.1.4 Head First Acrobats Pty Ltd, Thomas Graham, **Fool's Paradise**, VIC
    - 3.1.5 The Garden of Unearthly Delights Pty Ltd, Sarah Stewart, **The Garden of Unearthly Delights**, VIC
  - 3.2 Of these, two recipients have offices in South Australia:
    - 3.2.1 Made In India Pty Ltd, Sunny Pathak, **Holi Festival**, 3/55 Gawler Place, Adelaide, SA
    - 3.2.2 The Adela Group Pty Ltd, James Manning, **Gresham Street Gateway Festival**, 27 Gresham Street, Adelaide, SA

4. In relation to question two: How many event applicants shared the same or similar ownership/directors?
  - 4.1 AEDA does not request details on entity ownership/directorship. However, the following information is known to us:
    - 4.1.1 **Gluttony, Lucky Dumpling and Our Sound** share the same or similar ownership/directors.
    - 4.1.2 **A Decade in Gilbert Place and Home Base: Footy at Gilbert Place** share the same or similar ownership/directors. These events are a local collaboration with surrounding venues that will directly benefit from the event. These venues include Shotgun Willie's and Memphis Slims, owned by the Gonzo Group, as well as High Spirits (independently owned) and Hains & Co itself.
5. In relation to question three: If the events were profitable with or without funding from AEDA?
  - 5.1 The purpose of the Commercial Events and Festivals Sponsorship Program is to provide support to events and festivals delivered by commercial event operators and private businesses.
  - 5.2 Activities undertaken as a result of receiving funding may contribute to a profit or financial surplus for an applicant which is acceptable. This is consistent with the City of Adelaide's Funding Policy.
  - 5.3 At the application stage AEDA seeks information to understand the viability of the event and sustainability of the applicant. The following is considered:
    - 5.3.1 Financial capability and viability forms part of the assessment criteria ensuring effective management of budgets and financial commitments. As part of the application process applicants must provide a budget and demonstrate responsible use of AEDA/CoA funds.
    - 5.3.2 Evidence of a budget surplus is desirable to demonstrate financial sustainability and ensure the ongoing success and profitability of operators.
    - 5.3.3 Post-event, applicants are required to provide a projected vs actual budget as part of the acquittal process.
  - 5.4 All successful applicants, apart from two, indicated a breakeven situation or profit as part of their application budget. The removal of AEDA funding from those budgets would potentially result in ten events not achieving a profit.
  - 5.5 Feedback from the inaugural program in 2024 shows events are continuing to see an increase in costs, particularly in security and other key operations like electricity, plumbing, waste and cleaning.

Staff time in receiving and preparing this reply	To prepare this reply in response to the question on notice took approximately 5.5 hours.
--	---

---

- END OF REPORT -

### Councillor Couros - QoN - Request for Vote Count Details – 2022 Local Government Elections (South Ward)

Tuesday, 8 April 2025  
**Council**

**Council Member**  
Councillor Mary Couros

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Michael Sedgman, Chief Executive  
Officer

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Mary Couros will ask the following Question on Notice:**

'What were the total number of votes tallied for the candidate who came third, who was therefore elected, and the candidate who came fourth in the 2022 South Ward election?'

---

## REPLY

1. The candidate who came third in the 2022 South Ward election was Mark Siebentritt with 275 first preference votes and 656 votes after the final distribution of preferences.
2. The candidate who came fourth in the 2022 South Ward election was Colette Slight with 277 first preference votes and 559 votes prior to the final distribution of preferences.
3. The results for the five City of Adelaide elections, including the South Ward, are publicly available on the Electoral Commission of South Australia's website at [2022 Council elections results and statistics - Electoral Commission SA](#)

Staff time in receiving and preparing this reply	To prepare this reply in response to the question on notice took approximately 4.5 hours.
--	---

---

- END OF REPORT -



## Councillor Couros - QoN - Enrolment Forms Submitted by Jing Li – 2022 Local Government Elections (South Ward)

Tuesday, 8 April 2025  
**Council**

**Council Member**  
Councillor Mary Couros

Public

**Contact Officer:**  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief Operating Officer

## QUESTION ON NOTICE

**Councillor Mary Couros will ask the following Question on Notice:**

'How many enrolment forms for a natural person did Jing Li submit for the South Ward in the 2022 local government elections?'

## REPLY

1. Electoral roll enrolment forms ("Form 1") are received by the City of Adelaide through a number of channels – via email, post and direct drop off at the Customer Service Centre. Who delivers them is not recorded and there is no requirement to do so under any legislation or existing City of Adelaide policy.
2. Noting the above, Council records indicate that 88 Form 1s were received via email from Jing Li for the South Ward.

Staff time in receiving and preparing this reply	To prepare this reply in response to the question on notice took approximately 4 hours.
--	---

- END OF REPORT -

Councillor Couros - QoN - Legal Costs  
and Staff Time – Hyde v ECSA and Li

Tuesday, 8 April 2025  
Council

Council Member  
Councillor Mary Couros

Public

Contact Officer:  
Anthony Spartalis, Chief Operating  
Officer

QUESTION ON NOTICE

Councillor Mary Couros will ask the following Question on Notice:

‘Can the Chief Executive Officer provide details on:

- 1. The total legal fees incurred by the City of Adelaide for the current court case Alexander Hyde v Jing Li?
- 2. The total hours spent by Council staff in relation to this matter, including legal, administrative, and other relevant support?’

REPLY

- 1. At the meeting of Council on 11 March 2025, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) gave an undertaking to provide information on the expenditure related to attendance at hearings in relation to the Court of Disputed Returns matter. Between service of the Petition on 16 December 2022 and the Court hearing on 7 March 2025, the cost of attendance totalled \$25,816, noting those fees related to more than 40 separate Court attendances.
- 2. Further costs relating to legal advice to Council in relation to the matter of *Alexander Hyde v Electoral Commissioner of South Australia & Jing Li (No 3) [2025]* SADC 20 amounted to \$70,803.53, reflecting a total cost in legal fees in relation to the matter of \$96,646.53.
- 3. Administration has not maintained a specific record of staff time, nor the type of work completed in relation to this matter. Any work that has been undertaken by staff has been actioned using resources currently available.

Staff time in receiving and preparing this reply	To prepare this reply in response to the question on notice took approximately 4 hours.
--	---

- END OF REPORT -

Do Not Support			
33 Opposed			
Level of support to close Bartels Road		Are there any considerations you would seek addressed by the proposed road closure?	Respondent
1.	Opposed	NILL	Anonymous
2.	Opposed	Why does the event have to close Bartels Road? Adelaide is blessed with multiple suitable sites e.g. Victoria Park, Botanic Park	City Resident
3.	Opposed	Please find another place for this event. Bartels Rd is a vital road for all leaving the city for the east.	City Resident
4.	Opposed	Controlled crossing with flag/stop sign person only needed, Bartels Rd working ok without closure during the Adel fringe.. Also to close to the mandatory Bartels Rd closure Adel 500 car race	City User
5.	Opposed	It should not occur	City Resident
6.	Opposed	This is a busy link to the eastern suburbs and should not be closed.	City Resident
7.	Opposed	The PROLONGED INCONVENIENCE and disruption to traffic caused by road closures and restrictions relating to the Vailo car race and the Fringe Festival, is experienced by residents in eastern suburbs only. If the Adelaide Council (and SA Government) perceive the economic benefits & surge in tourism to be beneficial to, and shared by, all taxpayer residents then OTHER parkland sites should be considered so that the accompanying penalties are not imposed on the same Adelaide households, year after year. Ellis Park and Bonython Park have hosted events and provide alternative venues. Does the Council consider the environmental effect of idling cars and exhaust fumes as drivers are forced to sit in choked traffic on clogged alternative feeder roads?	City User
8.	Opposed	NILL	Anonymous
9.	Opposed	NILL	Anonymous
10.	Opposed	Shutting it for 4 business days seems extreme for a 2 day event. I understand the need for closure for setup but think they should structure the festival such that it does not require such a long closure.  E.g. putting the stalls/markets elsewhere in the parklands such that they closure is for 1-2 business days at most.	City User

11.	Opposed	Traffic management - traffic is diabolical when a major thoroughfare into the city is closed. If there was a way that the road could be open for peak hour traffic, then I would support. When roads are closed, I work from home and don't go into the city (and therefore don't buy my lunch from city stores or do my shopping in the city). The number of road closures of either Wakefield or Bartels is now becoming a joke.	Anonymous
12.	Opposed	Having this road closed creates chaos getting into the city especially as it's the start of the lead up to Xmas trading. It's already closed for the car racing which is a nightmare in itself... the parklands and roads into the city are meant for the public to utilise but are often closed for the minority not the majority...	City User
13.	Opposed	NILL	City User
14.	Opposed	Consider the plight of business in the CBD. Consider how closing access to the city impacts on those businesses that rely on customers travelling into the city. Consider that convenience is the main driver for shoppers and diners alike and that if it is more convenient to go somewhere else, that's what they'll do.	City User
15.	Opposed	There are plenty of other areas in or around the Adelaide CBD that can be used for a music festival, rather than having to close a major road that traffic use to exit the city. This is just another issue where the City of Adelaide decision makers need to get in the 'real world' AND STOP MAKING STUPID DECISIONS THAT AFFECT MANY PEOPLE FOR NO REASON.	City User
16.	Opposed	I am very supportive of such a music festival and feel it is an appropriate function to be held in our Parklands. However, I believe it is inappropriate to close Bartels Road and incorporate it into the festival as envisaged. I think all infrastructure should be in the parks and the organisers can decide where. If they wish to straddle Bartels Rd then I would accept closing Bartels Road when required, on the Saturday and Sunday, only during the opening hours of the festival, and that there be no infrastructure on Bartels Road except for temporary traffic barriers on the East and West sides and that these are placed to allow for both pedestrians to cross and also for as much parking as possible.	Anonymous
17-31	Opposed	Letter is submitted on behalf of fifteen (15) Strata and Community Corporations in Adelaide's East End. Access roads, like Bartels Road, are different. Access roads are essential transport infrastructure, not "optional extras" – they are there for a reason, and that's to provide access into and out of the city for a wide range of stakeholders, including: • commuters, who the Council and Government are trying to attract back into the city after the work-from-home Covid era; • shoppers, who are the lifeblood of the daytime economy;	City Resident

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• emergency services, whose ability to attend emergency situations is potentially compromised by road closures (perhaps one day with catastrophic results); and</li> <li>• residents, who are reasonably entitled to expect access into and out of their own homes.</li> </ul> <p>We welcome and enjoy events of all types throughout the year so; to be clear, the event is not the issue – the issue is the road closure. Put simply, there is no reason why the road needs to be closed. While we are opposed to this road closure and would prefer that it doesn't go ahead at all, there is a possible compromise proposal: <b>to only close the road during the actual opening hours of the Festival</b> (e.g. midday to midnight on the Saturday and Sunday, or whatever the actual hours are).</p>	
32.	Opposed	<p>We would like to post our opposition to the closure of Bartels Road for the event planned for the period of 6 days. We are residents living on East Terrace and use that road daily. The idea that we have to put up with the inconvenience, plus the extra noise these events bring is preposterous. Please post our objection to the appropriate committee.</p>	City Resident
33.	Opposed	<p>I am not happy with the closure of this important road – again! Not long after this event, roads in the East will be closed for the Adelaide 500 car race. Would you please consider placing this event elsewhere so that residents of the Eastern suburbs are not more inconvenienced. There must be other suitable sites. As a nearby resident, I am tired of the feeder roads into the city being closed off for whatever reason. It does appear that there are closures along Dequetteville Tce. Shortly – I have seen signs at bus stops, but unable to read whilst driving.</p>	City User
<b>Support</b>			
<b>42 in Support</b>			
Level of support to close Bartels Road		Are there any considerations you would seek addressed by the proposed road closure?	Respondent
1.	Support	NILL	City Resident
2.	Support	Ensure promotion of public/active transport to festival.	City User
3.	Support	NILL	Anonymous
4.	Support	NILL	City User
5.	Support	Safe pedestrian access across the road - similar to when it is closed for the fringe.	City User

6.	Support	It's not school holidays nor are there any public holidays during the proposed periods. The only other considerations is.... are there any other festivals, street parties or proposed use of the parklands in question or nearby?	City User
7.	Support	NILL	Anonymous
8.	Support	NILL	City User
9.	Support	All for it. We need more support for local arts and artists	City User
10.	Support	Please hold the festival in October as I will be in Melbourne for Oasis on the 1st of November. I attended both Harvest Rock festivals and was so disappointed when it was cancelled last year. I'm excited for this announcement but please don't have it on the 1st and 2nd of November!!	City User
11.	Support	NILL	Anonymous
12.	Support	More support for original live music in Adelaide can only be a good thing!	City Resident
13.	Support	NILL	City Resident
14.	Support	Yes, bring more arts and culture events to Adelaide. We have enough bloke events that close the roads	City User
15.	Support	More music, more festivals	City User
16.	Support	Weekday traffic. Hope Harvest Rock makes a return!!	City User
17.	Support	NILL	Anonymous
18.	Support	I would love 'harvest rock' to return!	City User
19.	Support	It is great to try and encourage more arts and culture festivals, there are plenty of other exit and entry roads into Adelaide from the east end, so closing the road is not an issue	City Resident
20.	Support	Ensure there is broad knowledge of the road closures given the proximity to the Adelaide 500 (i.e. people may assume that roads around the city are all open until the closures for the 500 take place).	City User
21.	Support	It is excellent that an arts and culture music festival is being proposed for this time in Adelaide. It was disappointing a festival didn't occur last year.	City User
22.	Support	Just clear signage	Anonymous

23.	Support	We missed this last year!	City User
24.	Support	Would much prefer this festival to be on Oct 25/26 as the next weekend will clash with Oasis playing in Melbourne. I know many people who won't be able to attend who otherwise would because of this.	City User
25.	Support	NILL	City User
26.	Support	Good advertising so locals and tourists know	City Resident
27.	Support	NILL	City User
28.	Support	NILL	Anonymous
29.	Support	Please keep the cycle paths open as much as possible.	City Resident
30.	Support	NILL	City Resident
31.	Support	It would good to make sure that any road closure signs aren't put in continuing bike lanes, forcing cyclists into traffic.	City Resident
32.	Support	just public notice	Anonymous
33.	Support	NILL	City User
34.	Support	NILL	City Resident
35.	Support	NILL	City User
36.	Support	Temporary Road closures are just that, temporary. The more events and festivals we bring to the city the greater the benefits to the residents and city	City Resident
37.	Support	NILL	City Resident
38.	Support	NILL	City User
39.	Support	NILL	City Resident
40.	Support	Think about cycling movements	City Resident

41.	Support	I would propose this festival runs the week prior ideally as i saw there are two options - we're trying to build the first wknd of Nov as the pride wknd here - it was successful last year!	City User
42.	Support	The proposal to stage a two-day Arts & Culture Music Festival is a welcome return to hosting a high-profile live music event in the city, following previous successes of a similar event Harvest Rock, which was welcomed and embraced as a fixture in the South Australian live music and music festival calendar. High profile live music festivals such as these contribute to the cultural vibrancy and profile of South Australia as a national and international festival and event destination and leverages Adelaide's designation as Australia's only UNESCO City of Music.	Music SA
<b>Neutral</b>			
<b>2 are Neutral</b>			
Level of support to close Bartels Road		Are there any considerations you would seek addressed by the proposed road closure?	Respondent
1.	Neutral	Either weekend will take out sport for the whole term when combined with the Adelaide 500. As I advised them on site however it is better to get as much time between them and the Adelaide 500 as possible.	CBC
2.	Neutral	Our preferred dates are the earlier weekend. Bartels Road will be closed from either 5am on Wednesday 22 October 2025 until 11:59pm on Monday 27 October 2025	PAC